

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/622,452	WEINER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ANNE MARIE S. WEHBE	1633

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Anne Marie Wehbe. (3) _____.
 (2) Mark DeLuca. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 07 October 2011.

Type: Telephonic Video Conference
 Personal [copy given to: applicant applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Others

(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 85-90.

Identification of prior art discussed: na.

Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

The examiner contacted applicant's representative to discuss problems with the claim dependencies of new claims 85-90. Applicant's representative agreed to an examiner's amendment to correct the claim dependencies of these claims.

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

Attachment

/ANNE MARIE S WEHBE/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1633